

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/925,612	08/09/2001	Manlik Kwong	12222-002001	2973	
23483 75	590 09/21/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	TLER PICKERING H	JASTRZAB, JEFFREY R			
60 STATE STR BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Boston, Mil	02109		3762	3762	
			DATE MAILED, 00/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	9			
		09/925,612	KWONG				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeffrey R. Jastrzab	3762	•			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The ply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	;			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communications.	ication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	<u>une 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The section is objected to by the Examine The section is objected.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A crity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	e			
Attachmen	t(s)	_					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/925,612

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-8 stand and Claims 9-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Selker et al., US-6,067,466.

Applicants argue that the input device or console cannot be read as the remote device. However the Examiner's comments as to the console (32) only apply to Claim 6 as note before. The data storage elements that hold the algorithms remain the remote device for the purpose of claim 1 as amplified below.

As to Claim 1, the Selker device has monitoring device, e.g. the ECG (10), a predictive instrument, e.g. the waveform analyzer (12) that computes a probability, and a user interface, e.g. the keyboard (22), the remote device is read as the CD-ROM or floppy disk (36) from which the processor module (14) retrieves the programs, i.e. a remote, or not on board storage, device. Note that the use of "remote" to describe external or readable storage devices is not new to the computer arts.

As to Claim 6, the processor (14) could be considered the server when communicating with the remote device (32) and data storage (36) which holds the programs or algorithms, i.e. the console is the remote request input location to the server or processor module.

As to Claim 8, as amended, note that Selker contemplates retrieving programs for predicting probability of outcome of different diseases via "on-line...hospital clinical information systems". Thus meeting the requesting from a remote server limitation as well as the network limitations.

Application/Control Number: 09/925,612

Art Unit: 3762

As to Claim 11, see column 8 at lines 52 et seq.

As to Claim 12, the patient data transmitted would inherently be indicative of population status as claimed,

As to Claim 21, it is inherent that the processor module includes a storage or buffer to store the code for the predictive programs.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Jastrzab whose telephone number is (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/925,612

Art Unit: 3762

308-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 5:30a.m. to 2:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey R. Jastrzab Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

September 20, 2004